



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|

09/883,933

06/20/2001

Olivier Attia

3723

50524

7590

03/26/2008

SCANBUY, INC.
54 WEST 39TH STREET
FOURTH FLOOR
NEW YORK, NY 10018

EXAMINER

DESHPANDE, KALYAN K

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3625

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

03/26/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|--------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. 09/883,933 | Applicant(s) ATTIA, OLIVIER | |
| | Examiner Kalyan K. Deshpande | Art Unit 3623 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Kalyan K. Deshpande. (3)_____.

(2) Michael O. Halas (57,371). (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 10 March 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 20.

Identification of prior art discussed: Rothschild (6651053), Walsh (6144848), and Knowlton (6061057).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants discussed proposed new claims. Applicants provided a brief overview of the present invention. Applicants allege that the present invention is distinguished from the cited prior art by the recited feature of a "substitute table". No agreement was reached on whether the "substitute table" was allowable subject matter. Further search and consideration will be required.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/KALYAN DESHPANDE/

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action. Examiner's signature, if required